

**AFC NORTH****BALTIMORE RAVENS**

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 12-to-1
 Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 11-to-2
 2011 Schedule Strength: 18.38 (26th toughest)
 2010 Record: 13-5 (+7.3 ML units), 9-8-1 ATS
 2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8-10
 2010 Schedule Strength: 20.72 (14th toughest)
 StatFox.com Power Rating: 29 (#3 of 32)
 StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +6.40 (#4 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE**SERIES TRENDS / NOTES**

| | | |
|---------|-----------------|--|
| Week 1 | Pittsburgh | PITTSBURGH is 3-0 against the spread at BALTIMORE since 2008 |
| Week 2 | at Tennessee | UNDERDOG is 14-5 against the spread in BAL-TEN series since 1992 |
| Week 3 | at St. Louis | FAVORITE is 3-1 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up in BAL-STL series since 1992 |
| Week 4 | N.Y. Jets | BALTIMORE is 6-1 against the spread and straight-up against NY JETS since 1992 |
| Week 5 | BYE | |
| Week 6 | Houston | ROAD TEAM is 4-0 against the spread and 3-1 straight-up in BAL-HOU series since 2001 |
| Week 7 | at Jacksonville | UNDERDOG is 8-4 against the spread in BAL-JAC series since 1992 |
| Week 8 | Arizona | ARIZONA is 3-1 against the spread versus Baltimore since 1992 |
| Week 9 | at Pittsburgh | Last seven games in PITTSBURGH are 5-1 OVER the total in BAL-PIT series since 2006 |
| Week 10 | at Seattle | HOME TEAM is 2-0 against the spread and 3-0 straight-up in BAL-SEA series since 1992 |
| Week 11 | Cincinnati | CINCINNATI is 10-4 against the spread versus BALTIMORE since 2004 |
| Week 12 | San Francisco | HOME TEAM is 3-0 against the spread in BAL-SF series since 1992 |
| Week 13 | at Cleveland | Last seven games in CLEVELAND are 5-1 UNDER the total in BAL-CLE series since 2004 |
| Week 14 | Indianapolis | FAVORITE is 8-3 against the spread and 10-1 straight-up in BAL-IND series since 1992 |
| Week 15 | at San Diego | HOME TEAM is 5-2 against the spread and straight-up in BAL-SD series since 1992 |
| Week 16 | Cleveland | FAVORITE is 5-2 against the spread and 7-0 straight-up at BALTIMORE in BAL-CLE series since 2004 |
| Week 17 | at Cincinnati | CINCINNATI is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up against BALTIMORE at CINCINNATI since 2006 |

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

| SCENARIO | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 6-YR TOTAL | 6-YR% |
|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------------|-------|
| STRAIGHT UP | 6-10 | 13-4 | 5-11 | 13-6 | 10-8 | 13-5 | 60-44 | 57.7% |
| OVERALL ATS | 7-9 | 10-7 | 3-13 | 14-5 | 9-8 | 9-8 | 52-50 | 51.0% |
| PRESEASON ATS | 2-2 | 2-2 | 1-3 | 1-3 | 3-1 | 3-1 | 12-12 | 50.0% |
| HOME ATS | 5-3 | 6-3 | 3-5 | 6-2 | 5-3 | 3-5 | 28-21 | 57.1% |
| ROAD ATS | 2-6 | 4-4 | 0-8 | 8-3 | 4-5 | 6-3 | 24-29 | 45.3% |
| VS DIVISION ATS | 3-3 | 3-3 | 1-5 | 5-2 | 2-3 | 2-5 | 16-21 | 43.2% |
| VS CONFERENCE ATS | 5-7 | 7-6 | 2-10 | 11-4 | 6-7 | 7-6 | 38-40 | 48.7% |
| AS FAVORITE ATS | 4-3 | 5-5 | 1-7 | 7-1 | 6-4 | 7-6 | 30-26 | 53.6% |
| AS UNDERDOG ATS | 3-6 | 5-2 | 2-6 | 7-4 | 3-4 | 2-2 | 22-24 | 47.8% |
| OVER-UNDER | 5-11 | 6-10 | 10-5 | 11-8 | 7-10 | 8-10 | 47-54 | 46.5% |

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- BALTIMORE is 9-2 ATS after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored the last three seasons
- BALTIMORE is 12-3 ATS when playing against a team with a losing record the last three seasons
- BALTIMORE is 10-3 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games the last three seasons
- BALTIMORE is 0-7 ATS vs. good rush def. - allowing <=90 rush yds/game in second half of season, last three seasons

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

| 2007 OPP | SCORE | ATS | TOTAL | 2008 OPP | SCORE | ATS | TOTAL | 2009 OPP | SCORE | ATS | TOTAL | 2010 OPP | SCORE | ATS | TOTAL |
|--------------|---------|----------|---------|--------------|---------|----------|---------|--------------|---------|----------|---------|--------------|---------|----------|---------|
| 9/10 at CIN | L 20-27 | L (+2½) | O (39%) | 9/7 vs CIN | W 17-10 | W (+2) | U (38) | 9/13 vs KAN | W 38-24 | W (-13) | O (37) | 9/13 at NYJ | W 10-9 | W (+1½) | U (36) |
| 9/16 vs NYJ | W 20-13 | L (-9) | O (32½) | 9/21 vs CLE | W 28-10 | W (-3) | O (36½) | 9/20 at SDG | W 31-26 | W (+1) | O (41) | 9/19 at CIN | L 10-15 | L (-3) | U (39) |
| 9/23 vs ARI | W 26-23 | L (-7) | O (36) | 9/29 at PIT | L 20-23 | W (+6) | O (34½) | 9/27 vs CLE | W 34-3 | W (-13½) | U (38½) | 9/26 vs CLE | W 24-17 | L (-12½) | O (36) |
| 9/30 at CLE | L 13-27 | L (-3½) | P (40) | 10/5 vs TEN | L 10-13 | L (+1) | U (33½) | 10/4 at NWE | L 21-27 | L (+2) | O (45) | 10/3 at PIT | W 17-14 | W (+2½) | U (34) |
| 10/7 at SFO | W 9-7 | L (-4) | U (34½) | 10/12 at IND | L 3-31 | L (+4) | U (39) | 10/11 vs CIN | L 14-17 | L (-9) | U (42) | 10/10 vs DEN | W 31-17 | W (-8) | O (39½) |
| 10/14 vs STL | W 22-3 | W (-9) | U (36½) | 10/19 at MIA | W 27-13 | W (+3) | O (35½) | 10/18 at MIN | L 31-33 | W (+3) | O (45½) | 10/17 at NWE | L 20-23 | P (+3) | U (44½) |
| 10/21 at BUF | L 14-19 | L (-3) | U (33½) | 10/26 vs OAK | W 29-10 | W (-8) | O (34½) | 11/1 vs DEN | W 30-7 | W (-4½) | U (42½) | 10/24 vs BUF | W 37-34 | L (-12½) | O (39½) |
| 11/5 at PIT | L 7-38 | L (+9) | O (36) | 11/2 at CLE | W 37-27 | W (+3) | O (36½) | 11/8 at CIN | L 7-17 | L (-3) | U (45) | 11/7 vs MIA | W 26-10 | W (-5) | U (41) |
| 11/11 vs CIN | L 7-21 | L (-3) | U (44) | 11/9 at HOU | W 41-13 | W (+3) | O (44) | 11/16 at CLE | W 16-0 | W (-11) | U (39½) | 11/11 at ATL | L 21-26 | L (+2) | O (44) |
| 11/18 vs CLE | L 30-33 | L (+1) | O (43½) | 11/16 at NYG | L 10-30 | L (+6½) | O (39) | 11/22 vs IND | L 15-17 | L (+1) | U (44½) | 11/21 at CAR | W 37-13 | W (-13) | O (36½) |
| 11/25 at SDG | L 14-32 | L (+9½) | O (39) | 11/23 vs PHI | W 36-7 | W (-2) | O (39) | 11/29 vs PIT | W 20-17 | L (-7½) | O (34½) | 11/28 vs TAM | W 17-10 | L (-7½) | U (41½) |
| 12/3 vs NWE | L 24-27 | W (+19½) | O (46½) | 11/30 at CIN | W 34-3 | W (-7) | O (36) | 12/7 at GNB | L 14-27 | L (+4) | U (43) | 12/5 vs PIT | L 10-13 | L (-3) | U (38½) |
| 12/9 vs IND | L 20-44 | L (+9) | O (42) | 12/7 vs WAS | W 24-10 | W (-6) | U (35) | 12/13 vs DET | W 48-3 | W (-14) | O (40½) | 12/13 at HOU | W 34-28 | W (-3) | O (47) |
| 12/16 at MIA | L 16-22 | L (-3) | O (37) | 12/14 vs PIT | L 9-13 | L (-3) | U (34) | 12/20 vs CHI | W 31-7 | W (-10½) | U (39½) | 12/19 vs NOR | W 30-24 | W (-2) | O (45) |
| 12/23 at SEA | L 6-27 | L (+13) | U (38½) | 12/20 at DAL | W 33-24 | W (+5½) | O (39) | 12/27 at PIT | L 20-23 | P (+3) | P (43) | 12/26 at CLE | W 20-10 | W (-3) | U (39½) |
| 12/30 vs PIT | W 27-21 | W (+3) | O (34½) | 12/28 vs JAC | W 27-7 | W (-10½) | U (37½) | 1/3 at OAK | W 21-13 | L (-10) | U (39½) | 1/2 vs CIN | W 13-7 | L (-9½) | U (43) |

2010 STATS/RANK**DIFFERENTIALS NO. RANK**

| | | |
|---------------|-------|----|
| Scoring | 5.4 | 6 |
| Yardage | 4.5 | 15 |
| Yds Per Play | 0.01 | 16 |
| Yds Per Point | -4.40 | 4 |
| Turnovers | +7 | 9 |

OFFENSE NO. RANK

| | | |
|----------------|-------|----|
| Points Scored | 22.3 | 16 |
| First Downs | 18.9 | 18 |
| Yards/Game | 322.9 | 22 |
| Yds Per Play | 5.07 | 23 |
| Yds Per Point | 14.47 | 9 |
| RushYds/Gm | 114.4 | 14 |
| Yards Per Rush | 3.76 | 28 |
| Pass Att/Game | 30.7 | 25 |
| Comp/Game | 19.3 | 18 |
| Completion % | 62.7 | 9 |
| Pass Yds/Gm | 208.4 | 20 |
| Pass Yds/Att | 6.79 | 11 |
| INTs Thrown | 10 | 6 |
| Sacks Allowed | 40 | 23 |
| Att/Sack | 13.3 | 25 |
| Sack Yards | 294 | 27 |

DEFENSE NO. RANK

| | | |
|----------------|-------|----|
| Points Allowed | 16.9 | 3 |
| First Downs | 17.8 | 11 |
| Yards/Game | 318.4 | 10 |
| Yds Per Play | 5.06 | 6 |
| Yds Per Point | 18.87 | 3 |
| RushYds/Gm | 93.9 | 5 |
| Yards Per Rush | 3.91 | 7 |
| Pass Att/Game | 37.3 | 30 |
| Comp/Game | 21.8 | 23 |
| Comp % | 58.6 | 11 |
| Pass Yds/Gm | 224.4 | 21 |
| Pass Yds/Att | 6.03 | 4 |
| Interceptions | 19 | 8 |
| Sacks | 27 | 27 |
| Att/Sack | 23.1 | 32 |
| Sack Yards | 190 | 24 |